UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,864	12/26/2001	Yoshikazu Yokomizo	00862.022477	7550
	7590 05/09/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			HOSSAIN, FARZANA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·		2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/025,864	YOKOMIZO, YOSHIKAZ	YOKOMIZO, YOSHIKAZU		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Farzana E. Hossain	2623			

	Farzana E. Hossain	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		(26/a) and the approprie	to outomaion for
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• ••	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 173,8-13,5-25 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	hed.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:		1 11/1	
		MOSE	
		SCOTT E. BELIVEA	NU

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim limitation including a content management server manages and delivers second programs, a commercial server including commercial contents, specifying a sponsor of said first program, a terminal on the audience said receives a first program and specifying a sponsor of the first program, managing contents of the second program in a second program database and designating a combination of the sponsor of the first program and commercial content of the second program requires further search and/or consideration.